

Multi-year School Support Plan

Division and School Information

Information Needed	Enter Information Below
School Year	2025-2026
Division Name	Prince William County Schools
Division Superintendent	LaTanya D. McDade, Ed.D.
School Name	Buckland Mills Elementary
Grades Served	K-5
Principal Name	Minaxi Odedra
Principal Email	odedramx@pwcs.edu
Division Multi-year School Support Plan Lead Name and Title	Kimberly Gudinas, Associate Superintendent, Western
Division Multi-year School Support Plan Lead Email	gudinakg@pwcs.edu

Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder Representation	Name	Email	Organization, Department, or Office	Title
School Leader	Minaxi Odedra	odedramx@pwcs.edu	School	Principal
School Leader	Elizabeth Harrison	harriser1@pwcs.edu	School	Assistant Principal
School Leader	Nathanial Hoffa	hoffane@pwcs.edu	School	Assistant Principal
Teacher	Kelly Serrano	cainkh@pwcs.edu	School	ESOL Teacher
Teacher	Caroline Walters	walterce@pwcs.edu	School	Special Education Teacher
Teacher	Tessa Swiger	swigertr@pwcs.edu	School	IB Coordinator
Teacher	Laura Nadeau	nadeaulk@pwcs.edu	School	General Education Teacher
Teacher	Brittany Brown	brownbm@pwcs.edu	School	School Counselor
Teacher	Tammy Hinkle	hinkletl@pwcs.edu	School	Librarian
Teacher	Liz Beckman	beckmaeh@pwcs.edu	School	Reading Teacher
Division Leader	Dr. Amy Larrick	larrical@pwcs.edu	Strategic Planning and Continuous Improvement Department	Coordinator, Continuous Improvement Coaching
Division Leader	Haley Guglielmi	guglieh@pwcs.edu	Special Education Department	Administrative Coordinator Special Education
Division Leader	Tiffany Hardy	hardytd@pwcs.edu	Teaching and Learning Office	Director of Professional Development
Division Leader	Kimberly Gudinas	gudinakg@pwcs.edu	Elementary Level Office	Associate Superintendent, Western
Division Leader	Valerie Hardy	hardyvk@pwcs.edu	Elementary Level Office	Director of Elementary Schools, Western

Multi-year School Support Plan

Multi-year School Support Plan			
3-Year Goal Statement Include the goal statement completed as part of the needs assessment process.	Our current state in reading for students with disabilities is 33% proficiency on the SOL in June 2025. Our desired future state for students with disabilities is 72% or more proficient on the reading SOL by June 2028.		
School Performance and Support Framework Alignment Select indicator that the goal addresses.	Reading Mastery		
Measurable Objectives Define objectives that support accomplishing the goal.	Measurable Objective Year 1 46% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the reading SOL by June 2026. 3 rd -5 th grade students with disabilities will score 60% or higher on each HMH selection quiz by June 2026. 25% or less of K-2 students with disabilities scoring in the high-risk band of VALLSS by June 2026.	Measurable Objective Year 2 59% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the reading SOL by June 2027. 3 rd -5 th grade students with disabilities will score 60% or higher on each HMH selection quiz by June 2027. 20% or less of K-2 students with disabilities scoring in the high-risk band of VALLSS by June 2027.	Measurable Objective Year 3 72% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the reading SOL by June 2028. 3 rd -5 th grade students with disabilities will score 80% or higher on each HMH selection quiz. 15% or less of K-2 students with disabilities scoring in the high-risk band of VALLSS by June 2028.
Evidence-Based Strategy Describe the evidence-based strategy and the rationale for selection. Identify evidence tier.	<p>Evidence-Based Strategies:</p> <p>Reading Decoding K-3: Teach students to decode words, analyze word parts, and write and recognize words.</p> <p>Reading Comprehension 4-5: Routinely use a set of comprehension building practices to help students make sense of the text.</p> <p>Description of Evidence-Based Strategies:</p> <p>Decoding Recommendation 3: Teach students to blend letter sounds and sound–spelling patterns from left to right within a word to produce a recognizable pronunciation. Instruct students in common sound–spelling patterns. Teach students to recognize common word parts. Have students read decodable words in isolation and in text. Teach regular and irregular high-frequency words so that students can recognize them efficiently.</p>		

	<p>Comprehension Recommendation 3B: Explicitly teach students how to find and justify answers to different types of questions. Teach students to ask questions about the text while reading. Learning to ask and answer questions will enable students with reading difficulties to integrate information from the passage with the knowledge they have gained from earlier lessons or their reading. These connections will enable students to draw text-based interpretations or inferences about what the author implied. By asking and answering questions about text, students can better interpret its meaning.</p> <p>Rationale: The comprehensive needs assessment included an analysis of three-year trend data (to include overall and student groups): SOL, Unit Assessments, PALS, VALLSS, and HMH Growth Measure. Root Cause protocol was used to determine root cause focused on the components of the instructional core. Root Cause: Special education teachers need to increase their understanding of decoding and comprehension strategies to support students with disabilities. The team determined a strategic priority for increasing reading achievement for English language learners and students with disabilities. The team then discussed and selected evidence-based strategies that focused on improving students' decoding and comprehension skills.</p> <p>Evidence Tier: Tier 1 (strong evidence) for the above evidence-based strategies.</p>
<p>Intended Outcomes Describe how student outcomes will improve as a result implementing the evidence-based strategy.</p>	<p>Intended Outcomes: Students need to learn how to break down and read complex words by segmenting the words into pronounceable word parts. To do this, students must understand morphology. Learning to recognize letter patterns and word parts and understanding that sounds relate to letters in predictable and unpredictable ways will help students decode and read increasingly complex words. It will also help them to read with greater fluency, accuracy, and comprehension. As word recognition becomes easier, students can focus more on word meaning when they read, ultimately supporting reading comprehension.</p> <p>Learning to ask and answer questions will enable students with reading difficulties to integrate information from the passage with the knowledge they have gained from earlier lessons or their reading. These connections will enable students to draw text-based interpretations or inferences about what the author implied. By asking and answering questions about text, students can better interpret its meaning.</p>

		To help us achieve the intended outcomes above, we will provide teachers with professional development on explicitly teaching students, specifically students with disabilities how to decode and utilize comprehension building practices; growth producing feedback on instructional delivery and implementation of decoding and comprehension strategies; and monitoring students decoding and comprehension progress, which will increase our students with disabilities performance on the reading SOL.				
Lead person (Who is responsible for ensuring the work gets done?)		Building Principal				
Team Members (Who are responsible for doing the work?)		School Continuous Improvement (CI)Team, K-5 Teachers (General Education and Special Education), and Reading Specialist				
Action Step <i>(What will be accomplished?)</i> List the specific, sequenced steps required to complete the activity.	Process Owner <i>(Who is responsible for ensuring the action step is complete?)</i> Identify a single, accountability lead.	Time Frame <i>(How long will it take?)</i> Identify the start and end dates for each action step, including any key milestones.	Progress Checks <i>(How will the team monitor progress?)</i> Define key dates to review process, make adjustments, and confirm the work remains on track.	Measures of Success <i>(How will the team know if the action step is complete?)</i> Define clear, observable indicators of completion.	Cost Elements <i>(What resources are needed to complete the action step?)</i>	Funding Source <i>(Where will the money come from?)</i>
Professional Learning: Professional development will be provided to K-2 general and special education teachers on how to plan using curriculum materials and strategy implementation. <u>Year 1</u> Professional development will be provided to teachers on how to plan and implement explicit decoding strategy instruction.	School Principal	9/1/2025 – 5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-2 teachers will explicitly teach foundational skills.	None	None

<p><u>Year 2</u> Professional development will be provided by the reading specialist to teachers modeling foundational skills lessons/decoding strategies, with embedded scaffolds to support students with disabilities.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> Teachers will conduct peer observations and provide feedback on fidelity of foundational skills/decoding strategies implementation to include implementation of scaffolds to support students with disabilities.</p>						
<p>Professional Learning: Professional development will be provided to 3rd-5th general and special teachers on how to plan using curriculum materials (HMH and unit</p>	School Principal	9/1/2025 – 5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of 3-5 teachers will use strategies to help students find and justify answers to different types of questions.	None	None

<p>guides) and strategy implementation.</p> <p><u>Year 1</u> Professional development will be provided to teachers on explicitly teaching comprehension strategies to support students with disabilities in finding and justifying answers to different types of questions.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> Professional development will be provided to teachers on anticipating challenges for students with disabilities (e.g., working memory, language processing), planning scaffolds and specially designed instruction and intentionally adjust instruction when students with disabilities do not respond to core comprehension instruction.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u></p>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>Instructional rounds will focus on identifying evidence of specially designed instruction within Tier 1 (explicit instruction, targeted small-group instruction, and task-specific feedback) and examining how teachers adjust instruction in real time when students with disabilities are not meeting lesson targets.</p>						
<p>Planning: <u>Year 1</u> 3rd-5th grade general and special education teachers will preview and discuss weekly HMH texts to plan explicit instruction on the specific strategy and questions to support students finding and justifying answers to different types of questions.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> Reading specialist will model planning using HMH texts to plan the specific strategy and</p>	<p>School Principal</p>	<p>9/1/2025 – 5/29/2028</p>	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	<p>100% of 3-5 teachers will use strategies to help students find and justify answers to different types of questions.</p>	<p>\$3,688.63 to fund two substitutes to cover five special education teachers' while they attend grade level planning.</p>	<p>TSI funds requested</p>

<p>questions to support students finding and justifying answers to different types of questions, to include planning specially designed instruction to ensure students with disabilities have access and make progress with instruction.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> Teachers will engage in observations of other grade level CLT's and provide feedback on using HMH texts to plan the explicit strategy instruction and questions to support students finding and justifying answers to different types of questions.</p>						
<p>Planning: <u>Year1</u> In CLT's, K-2 general and special education teachers will plan explicit foundational skills and decoding instruction by identifying phonics targets, modeling routines, and planned checks for</p>	School Principal	9/1/2025 – 5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-2 teachers will explicitly teach foundational skills.	\$3,688.63 to fund two substitutes to cover five special education teachers' while they attend grade level planning. (As noted above, this is not a duplicated request.)	TSI funds requested

<p>understanding to support students with disabilities in accurately applying decoding skills.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> In CLT's, K-2 general and special education teachers will anticipate specific decoding and foundational skill challenges for students with disabilities and plan scaffolds, specially designed instruction, and small-group instruction in advance of lesson delivery.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> In CLT's, K-2 general and special education teachers will analyze decoding progress monitoring data to intentionally adjust Tier 1 foundational skills instruction, when students with disabilities are not demonstrating expected skill acquisition.</p>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>Monitoring: Administrators will use the PWCS foundation skills and comprehension walkthrough tools to monitor implementation of strategies and provide feedback, with a focus on how teachers planned and delivered scaffolds and specially designed instruction to increase student ownership.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TNTP visits • ELA department visits • Special education department visits: special education L1 and L2 classroom Look Fors tool 	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p> <p>Create monthly visual schedule for walkthroughs</p>	<p>100% of 3-5 teachers will use strategies to help students find and justify answers to different types of questions.</p> <p>100% of K-2 teachers will explicitly teach foundational skills.</p>	None	None
<p>Monitoring: 3rd-5th grade general and special education teachers will examine the quality of the response to discuss students' samples of finding the correct answers in the text and justifying their</p>	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	<p>100% of K-5 students with disabilities will use comprehension strategies and the vocabulary from the text to orally discuss the 'Engage and Respond' prompt daily; 3-5 written 'Respond to Text' weekly.</p>	None	None

<p>answers with evidence from the text. Teachers will then determine instructional next steps, to include scaffolds and specially designed instruction that takes in account students' unique learning needs (i.e., explicit instruction on using assistive technology to support written expression).</p>						
<p>Monitoring: K-2 general and special education teachers will examine student use of phonics feature(s) to determine next steps. Next steps might include scaffolds and specially designed instruction that take in account students' unique learning needs (i.e., explicit instruction on using sound boxes or finger tapping to anchor each phoneme to support students with difficulty with working memory).</p>	<p>School Principal</p>	<p>8/18/2025–5/29/2028</p>	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	<p>100% of K-2 students with disabilities will accurately use features on the weekly decoding progress monitoring quick checks.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>None</p>

<p>Monitoring: K-5 general and special education teachers will utilize student data to identify and monitor the progress of students with disabilities to provide focused remediation (All-In VA Tutoring).</p>	<p>School Administrators Teachers</p>	<p>9/30/2025–6/30/2026</p>	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p>	<p>100% of K-5 students with disabilities will use comprehension strategies and the vocabulary from the text to orally discuss the ‘Engage and Respond’ prompt daily; 3-5 written ‘Respond to Text’ weekly.</p> <p>100% of K-2 students with disabilities will accurately use features on the weekly decoding progress monitoring quick checks.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>None</p>
--	---	----------------------------	---	---	-------------	-------------

Multi-year School Support Plan			
<p>3-Year Goal Statement Include the goal statement completed as part of the needs assessment process.</p>	<p>Our current state in math for students with disabilities is 32% proficiency on the SOL in June 2025. Our desired future state for students with disabilities is 75% or more proficient on the math SOL by June 2028.</p>		
<p>School Performance and Support Framework Alignment Select indicator that the goal addresses.</p>	<p>Math Mastery</p>		
<p>Measurable Objectives Define objectives that support accomplishing the goal.</p>	<p>Measurable Objective Year 1 46% or more of 3rd-5th grade students with disabilities scoring proficient on the math SOL by June 2026.</p> <p>60% or more of 3rd-5th grade students with disabilities will</p>	<p>Measurable Objective Year 2 60% or more of 3rd-5th grade students with disabilities scoring proficient on the math SOL by June 2027.</p> <p>70% or more of K-5 students with disabilities will meet or</p>	<p>Measurable Objective Year 3 75% or more of 3rd-5th grade students with disabilities scoring proficient on the math SOL by June 2028.</p> <p>80% or more of K-5 students with disabilities will meet or</p>

	score proficient and mastery on the math unit assessments by June 2026.	exceed performance on the end of year Momentum assessment by June 2027.	exceed performance on the end of year Momentum assessment by June 2028.
<p>Evidence-Based Strategy Describe the evidence-based strategy and the rationale for selection. Identify evidence tier.</p>	<p>Evidence-Based Strategy: Assist students in monitoring and reflecting on the problem-solving process.</p> <p>Description of Evidence-Based Strategy: Math Recommendation 2: Provide students with a list of prompts to help them monitor and reflect during the problem-solving process. Model how to monitor and reflect on the problem-solving process. Use student thinking about a problem to develop students’ ability to monitor and reflect.</p> <p>Rationale: The comprehensive needs assessment included an analysis of three-year trend data (to include overall and student groups): SOL and Unit Assessments. Root Cause protocol was used to determine root cause focused on the components of the instructional core. Root Cause: Teachers need support with explicitly teaching students with disabilities to monitor and reflect during the problem-solving process. The team determined a strategic priority for increasing math achievement for English language learners and students with disabilities. The team then discussed and selected an evidence-based strategy that focused on improving students' problem-solving skills.</p> <p>Evidence Tier: Tier 1 (strong evidence)</p>		
<p>Intended Outcomes Describe how student outcomes will improve as a result implementing the evidence-based strategy.</p>	<p>Intended Outcomes: Students learn mathematics and solve problems better when they monitor their thinking and problem-solving steps as they solve problems. Monitoring and reflecting during problem solving helps students think about what they are doing and why they are doing it, evaluate the steps they are taking to solve the problem and connect new concepts to what they already know. The more students reflect on their problem-solving processes, the better their mathematical reasoning, and their ability to apply this reasoning to new situations, will be.</p> <p>To help us achieve the intended outcomes above, we will provide teachers with professional development on explicitly teaching students, specifically students with disabilities how</p>		

		solve problem better through monitoring their thinking and problem-solving steps; growth producing feedback on instructional delivery and implementation of problem-solving processes; and monitoring students' progress, which will increase our students with disabilities performance on the math SOL.				
Lead person (Who is responsible for ensuring the work gets done?)		Building Principal				
Team Members (Who are responsible for doing the work?)		School Continuous Improvement (CI) Team, K-5 Teachers (General Education and Special Education), and All-In VA Coordinator				
Action Step <i>(What will be accomplished?)</i> List the specific, sequenced steps required to complete the activity.	Process Owner <i>(Who is responsible for ensuring the action step is complete?)</i> Identify a single, accountability lead.	Time Frame <i>(How long will it take?)</i> Identify the start and end dates for each action step, including any key milestones.	Progress Checks <i>(How will the team monitor progress?)</i> Define key dates to review process, make adjustments, and confirm the work remains on track.	Measures of Success <i>(How will the team know if the action step is complete?)</i> Define clear, observable indicators of completion.	Cost Elements <i>(What resources are needed to complete the action step?)</i>	Funding Source <i>(Where will the money come from?)</i>
Professional Learning: <u>Year 1</u> K-5 general and special education teachers will learn to explicitly model and teach a consistent set of prompts that help students with disabilities monitor their thinking and reflect during the math problem-solving process. <u>Year 2</u> K-5 general and special education teachers will learn to anticipate problem-solving challenges and align scaffolds	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-5 teachers will model using the problem-solving process to solve math problems.	None	None

<p>and instructional supports to students' unique learning needs to strengthen students with disabilities monitoring and reflection during problem solving.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> K-5 general and special education teachers will engage in instructional rounds to identify effective monitoring and reflection practices Teachers will adjust instruction in real time when students with disabilities are not responding as expected.</p>						
<p>Planning: <u>Year 1</u> In CLTs, K-5 general and special education teachers will discuss and plan questions and prompts that support students' thinking, monitoring, and reflection during the math problem-solving process. This will include when and how teachers</p>	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-5 teachers will model using the problem-solving process to solve math problems.	\$3,688.63 to fund two substitutes to cover five special education teachers' while they attend grade level planning. (As noted above, this is not a duplicated request.)	TSI funds requested

<p>will explicitly model thinking, monitoring, and reflecting during the problem-solving process.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> In CLTs, K-5 general and special education teachers will model the problem-solving process for colleagues and collaboratively plan scaffolds and instructional supports aligned to students' unique learning needs to strengthen students with disabilities monitoring and reflection during problem solving.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> In CLTs, K-5 general and special education teachers will engage in observations of other grade-level teams and provide feedback on lesson planning that intentionally creates opportunities for students with disabilities to</p>						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

monitor and reflect on their steps during the problem-solving process.						
Monitoring: Administrators will utilize the PWCS walkthrough tool to monitor implementation and provide feedback. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Math department visits • Special education department visits 	School Principal	9/8/2025 – 5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings Create monthly visual walkthrough schedule	100% of K-5 teachers will model using the problem-solving process to solve math problems.	None	None
Monitoring: K-5 general and special education teachers will use student data to identify students with disabilities who are not responding to instruction and adjust supports and instructional approaches to address their specific learning needs.	School Administrators	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-5 students with disabilities will use the problem-solving checklist to monitor and reflect on their steps during the problem-solving process.	None	None
Monitoring: Monitor enrollment, attendance, and student progress of students with disabilities in All-In tutoring.	All-In VA Coordinator	9/15/2025–6/30/2026	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings	100% of K-5 students with disabilities will use the problem-solving checklist to monitor and reflect on their steps during the	None	None

				problem-solving process.		
Multi-year School Support Plan						
3-Year Goal Statement Include the goal statement completed as part of the needs assessment process.		Our current state in science for students with disabilities is 13% proficiency on the SOL in June 2025. Our desired future state for students with disabilities is 84% or more proficient on the reading SOL by June 2028.				
School Performance and Support Framework Alignment Select indicator that the goal addresses.		Science Mastery				
Measurable Objectives Define objectives that support accomplishing the goal.		Measurable Objective Year 1	Measurable Objective Year 2	Measurable Objective Year 3		
		36% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the science SOL by June 2026.	60% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the science SOL by June 2027.	84% or more of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the science SOL by June 2028.		
		40% or more of 4 th -5 th grade students with disabilities will score proficient and mastery on the science unit assessments by June 2026.	65% or more of 4 th -5 th grade students with disabilities will score proficient and mastery on the science unit assessments by June 2027.	85% or more of 4 th -5 th grade students with disabilities will score proficient and mastery on the science unit assessments by June 2028.		
Evidence-Based Strategy Describe the evidence-based strategy and the rationale for selection. Identify evidence tier.		<p>Evidence-Based Strategy: Science K-5: Plan and deliver instruction in the 5E model to support experiential, inquiry-based student learning.</p> <p>Description of Evidence-Based Strategy: Science Recommendation: The 5E Instructional Model consists of the following phases: Engage - Access the learner's prior knowledge and help them become engaged in a new concept through short activities that promote curiosity and elicit prior knowledge. Explore - Provide students with experiences that build a common base of activities within which current concepts (i.e., misconceptions), processes, and skills are identified, and conceptual change is facilitated. Explain - Focus students' attention on an aspect of their engagement and exploration experiences and provide opportunities to demonstrate their conceptual understanding, process skills, or behaviors. Elaborate - Challenge and extend students' conceptual understanding and skills. Evaluate - Encourage students to assess their understanding and abilities and evaluate student progress toward mastery.</p> <p>Rationale:</p>				

		<p>The comprehensive needs assessment included an analysis of three-year trend data (to include overall and student groups): SOL and Unit Assessments. Root Cause protocol was used to determine root cause focused on the components of the instructional core. Root Cause: Teachers’ ability to plan rigorous science instruction and implement lesson plans with fidelity. The team determined a strategic priority for increasing science achievement for students with disabilities. The team then discussed and selected an evidence-based strategy that focused on improving students' active, experiential science learning skills.</p> <p>Evidence Tier: Tier 1 (strong evidence)</p>				
<p>Intended Outcomes Describe how student outcomes will improve as a result implementing the evidence-based strategy.</p>		<p>Intended Outcomes: The 5E instructional model, deeply rooted in the constructivist approach, enhances student outcomes by promoting active, experiential learning where students construct their own understanding.</p> <p>With a strengthened foundation in active, experiential science learning, and providing teachers with professional development on the 5E instructional model; growth producing feedback on instructional delivery and implementation of the 5Es; and monitoring students’ progress, we will increase our students with disabilities performance on the science SOL.</p>				
<p>Lead person (Who is responsible for ensuring the work gets done?)</p>		<p>Building Principal</p>				
<p>Team Members (Who are responsible for doing the work?)</p>		<p>School Continuous Improvement (CI) Team, IB Coordinator, K-5 Teacher (General Education and Special Education), and Instructional Technology Coach</p>				
<p>Action Step <i>(What will be accomplished?)</i> List the specific, sequenced steps required to complete the activity.</p>	<p>Process Owner <i>(Who is responsible for ensuring the action step is complete?)</i> Identify a single, accountability lead.</p>	<p>Time Frame <i>(How long will it take?)</i> Identify the start and end dates for each action step, including any key milestones.</p>	<p>Progress Checks <i>(How will the team monitor progress?)</i> Define key dates to review process, make adjustments, and confirm the work remains on track.</p>	<p>Measures of Success <i>(How will the team know if the action step is complete?)</i> Define clear, observable indicators of completion.</p>	<p>Cost Elements <i>(What resources are needed to complete the action step?)</i></p>	<p>Funding Source <i>(Where will the money come from?)</i></p>
<p>Planning: <u>Year1</u> Provide K–5 teachers with professional learning on implementing the 5E instructional model</p>	<p>School Principal</p>	<p>9/1/2025-5/29/2028</p>	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	<p>100% of K-5 teachers will use the 5E model to engage in collaborative discussion, using high level questions to</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>None</p>

<p>with fidelity, emphasizing the use of embedded scaffolds within the curriculum to support students with disabilities.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> Provide professional learning to K-5 teachers focused on embedding specially designed instruction within the 5E instructional model.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> K-5 teachers will collaboratively analyze student data to refine 5E instruction and provide scaffolds that promote independence.</p>				support students' science inquiry.		
<p>Planning:</p> <p><u>Year 1</u> K-5 teachers will collaboratively plan 5E science lessons to embedded scaffolds to support students with disabilities.</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> K-5 teachers will collaboratively design 5E lessons</p>	School Principal	9/1/2025 – 5/29/2028	BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings Monthly vertical team meetings	100% of K-5 teachers will use the 5E model to engage in collaborative discussion, using high level questions to support students' science inquiry.	None	None

<p>that embed specially designed instruction within the model to support students with disabilities.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> K-5 teachers will collaboratively analyze students with disabilities data to refine 5E instruction and provide scaffolds that promote independence.</p>						
<p>Monitoring: Administrators will use the PWCS walkthrough tool to monitor implementation and provide feedback for student ownership and engagement in learning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Science department visits • Special education department visits 	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p> <p>Create monthly visual schedule for walkthroughs</p>	100% of K-5 teachers will use the 5E model to engage in collaborative discussion, using high level questions to support students' science inquiry.	None	None
<p>Implementation: <u>Year 1</u> 4th and 5th grade teachers will establish a system to implement</p>	School Principal	8/18/2025–5/29/2028	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	100% of 4 th and 5 th grade students with disabilities will demonstrate understanding of the science concept by using evidence to	None	None

<p>"Question of the Day" (QOD).</p> <p><u>Year 2</u> Extend Year 1 practice to K-3 students.</p> <p><u>Year 3</u> K-3 teachers will incorporate structured conversations with the QOD.</p>				<p>justify their thinking (Question of the Day).</p>		
<p>Monitoring:</p> <p><u>Year 1</u> 4th and 5th grade teachers will examine the quality of the weekly QOD to determine specific next steps to support students with disabilities.</p> <p><u>Year 2 and 3</u> K-3 grade teachers will examine the quality of weekly QOD to determine specific next steps to support students with disabilities.</p> <p>4th and 5th grade teachers will continue to enhance the Year 1 practice.</p>	<p>School Principal</p>	<p>8/18/2025 5/29/2028</p>	<p>BOY, MOY, and EOY progress monitoring meetings</p> <p>Monthly vertical team meetings</p>	<p>100% of 4th and 5th grade students with disabilities will demonstrate understanding of the science concept by using evidence to justify their thinking (Question of the Day).</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>None</p>